



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov.

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/473,361	12/28/1999	MIN-GOO KIM	678-434 9895	
75	90 12/19/2002			
PAUL J FARRELL			EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD			ODOM, CURTIS B	
UNIONDALE, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/473,361	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Curtis B. Odom	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 D	<u> December 1999</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> Disposition of Claims	Ex paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6 and 11-13</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6 and 11-13</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 December 1999</u> is/ard	·- · · · ·	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		* *				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		ved by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	41111101.					
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C & 119(a)	1-(d) or (f)				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 00 0.0.0. § 110(a)	-(a) or (i).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur	ity documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	d in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•					
a) The translation of the foreign language prov						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachment(s)	Λ\	(DTO 442) Dans - No(-)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 3 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 3, which includes the limitations of claim 1, recites "wherein 1 is 1". In claim 1, the limitation "1" is in fact "1".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/473,361

Art Unit: 2634

1

3. Claims 1-3 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anitcipated by Jafarkhani et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6, 125, 149).

Regarding claim 1, Jafarkhani et al. discloses a quantization method for an iterative decoder (column 6, line 34-38), comprising the steps of equally dividing (column 7, lines 21-34) received signal levels into predetermined intervals, the intervals occupying a range two times greater than a transmission signal level range of a transmitter (column 3, lines 50-60), wherein the quantizer levels selected occupy a range two times greater than the received signal frame sequence; and

quantizing the level of a signal received in each period, using the predetermined intervals (column 5, lines 36-38).

Regarding claim 2, Jafarkhani et al. discloses the quantization method of claim 1, wherein 1 is 2 (column 3, lines 50-60), wherein the quantizer levels selected occupy a range four times greater than the received signal frame sequence.

Regarding claim 3, Jafarkhani et al. discloses the quantization method of claim 1, wherein 1 is 1 (column 3, lines 50-60), wherein the quantizer levels selected occupy a range two times greater than the received signal frame sequence.

Regarding claim 7, Jafarkhani et al. discloses a quantization method for a turbo decoder (column 6, lines 34-38)in a communication system, wherein an iterative decoder can be a turbo decoder, comprising the steps of:

equally dividing (column 7, lines 21-34) received signal levels into 8 or 16 quantization scaling factor intervals using 5 to 7 quantization bits within a range of two time greater than a transmission signal level range (column 3, lines 50-60), wherein there is a quantization scaling

Art Unit: 2634

(A

factor used to convert the received signal into a sequence of bits based on quanitzed levels (column 3, lines 24-29) and the incoming sequence contains 5 to 7 values (column 3, lines 60); and

quantizing the level of a signal received in each period, using the predetermined intervals (column 5, lines 36-38).

Regarding claim 8, Jafarkhani et al. discloses the quantization method of claim 7, wherein 1 is 2, (column 3, lines 50-60), wherein the quantizer levels selected occupy a range four times greater than the received signal frame sequence.

Regarding claim 9, Jafarkhani et al. discloses the quantization method of claim 7, wherein the number of quantization bits is 6 (column 3, line 60), wherein the signal frame sequence is converted to a sequence of bits and the sequence of bits is 6.

Regarding claim 10, Jafarkhani et al. discloses the quantization method of claim 9, wherein the quantization scaling factor interval is 8, wherein there is a quantization scaling factor used to convert the received signal into a sequence of bits based on quantized levels (column 3, lines 24-29), and the scaling factor is 8 depending of the received signal.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4-6 and 11-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/473,361

Art Unit: 2634

4

Conclusion

Page 5

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Yi (U.S. Patent No. 5, 970, 085) describes a method an receiver for coded satellite digital

audio broadcasting using MAP decoders.

Kumar (U.S. Patent No. 5, 966, 401) describes decoding using SOVA.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Curtis B. Odom whose telephone number is 703-305-4097. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-6743 for regular

communications and 703-308-6743 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Curtis Odom

December 4, 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Application/Control Number: 09/473,361

Art Unit: 2634

Page 6